ISSUE BRIEF 02

Chronic Care in Humanitarian Crises

May 2022

This issue brief, and the journal publication it is based on, was prepared by:





Chronic NCD care in crises: a qualitative study of global experts' perspectives on models of care for hypertension and diabetes in humanitarian settings

WHAT IS THE ISSUE?

Models of care for non-communicable diseases (NCDs) describe how best practice healthcare is delivered at the right time and place, to the right person or group. Current NCD care models in crisis-affected low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) are diverse, non-standardised and specific to particular crises. Limited guidance exists for humanitarian actors seeking to design effective models in these settings.

WHAT WE DID

We interviewed 20 global experts from the United Nations, humanitarian, research and advocacy organisations about providing hypertension and diabetes (HTN/DM) care in humanitarian settings, and synthesised their views. A conceptual framework was developed to guide the study and to categorise the essential elements necessary to deliver care, identify critical gaps, priority needs and potential innovations to address these gaps.

OVERALL FINDING

Current models mainly focus on the primary-care level in prolonged crisis settings. Interviewed experts focused on the basic building blocks of care, including developing clinical and operational guidance, training the workforce and strengthening supply chains and information systems. Intermediate health system goals (responsiveness, quality and safety) and final goals received much less attention. There were notable gaps in standardisation and continuity of care, integration with host systems, and coordination with other actors.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION

Several recommendations were identified: 1) adapt hypertension and diabetes care models in crises to the context, crisis type and response phase, 2) use primary care models, essential medicines, standardised guidelines and tools and task shifting, 3) introduce greater standardisation, integration, continuity and coordination to improve quality of care, 4) develop more evidence on patient experience, peer support and patient self-management, 5) apply a health system strengthening approach, and 6) increase funding to support the sustainability of care.

KEY MESSAGES

Although models of care for hypertension and diabetes must be adapted to each crisis-affected LMIC setting, there is a **need for greater standardisation and better guidance** to foster continuity, integration, sustainability and, thus, better quality care.

More funding for NCD care and related research is needed to

produce evidence on effective, integrated, continuous care in crisis settings and on patients' priorities and experience.

Scan the QR code to access the fulltext article



Corresponding author

Éimhín Ansbro
Department of Health Services
Research & Policy, London School of
Hygiene and Tropical
Medicine
eimhin.ansbro@lshtm.ac.uk











Framework for analysis of models of care for diabetes and hypertension in humanitarian settings

Our conceptual framework was based on the World Health Organization (WHO) health systems model, to which we added the phases of crisis and response, and patient and community factors. The latter were drawn from economic models, social systems approaches to describing health systems and the existing literature on quality of care in LMICs.

FINDINGS IN RELATION TO THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

RECURRING THEMES IDENTIFIED

From the expert interviews, it was evident that:

- Models of NCD service delivery in crises are diverse and highly context-dependent.
- · The quality of NCD monitoring and evaluation and data collection tools, standardisation, coordination and integration are linked.
- Greater coordination between agencies and better integration of NCD services is necessary.
- Most current models of NCD care delivered in response to crises are primary care-based. Sustainable approaches, facilitating
 continuity of care, integration with existing health systems and greater emphasis on community services and family support
 were suggested.
- Responsiveness to patient needs and patient or community factors were discussed in a limited or aspirational way.
- The final health system goals (improved health, social and financial risk protection and improved efficiency) received minimal attention

CONTEXTUAL FACTORS: HUMANITARIAN AND COUNTRY SOCIO-ECONOMIC, CULTURAL AND POLICY FACTORS **HEALTH SYSTEM AND PARALLEL HUMANITARIAN SYSTEM REQUIRED HEALTH SYSTEMS INPUTS INTERMEDIATE GOALS FINAL GOALS** PATIENT AND COMMUNITY **FACTORS** Facilities and services, medicines and equipment, Access and coverage, quality and safety, Improved health, social and financial risk health workforce, information, finance and responsiveness, standardisation, integration and protection, improved efficiency continuity and sustainability governance **EXPERT OBSERVATIONS EXPERT OBSERVATIONS EXPERT OBSERVATIONS** Most existing models are located at primary care Limited access to referral services for complications, • Long-term health goals are difficult to achieve and to mental health, rehabilitation, and palliation measure Underutilisation of community-care and peer support Quality assessments are rare in practice, focus RECOMMENDATIONS High cost and poor availability and accessibility of remains on basic building blocks Patient and community factors, knowledge and Intermediate health outcomes are more realistic NCD medicines Lack of patient-centredness education, preferences and trust indicators of programme effectiveness Limited healthcare worker NCD knowledge and Lack of standardised guidance Social and financial risk protection should be a goal Inappropriateness of short-term emergency relief capacity to provide care of NCD programmes approaches Lack of funding for NCD care Knowledge about NCDs within communities varied by Lack of integration with existing health services RECOMMENDATIONS Limited understanding of patient experiences and RECOMMENDATIONS Strengthen community and primary care preferences Develop community health worker network Strengthen referral pathways and services Medical supply disruptions undermine patient trust Improve access to affordable good quality medicines, Develop standardised indicators; promote monitoring Chronic disease care may be low on the hierarchy of including insulin and evaluation to improve quality needs of crisis-affected people Training and capacity building for healthcare workers, Improve patient-centredness including task sharing Develop standardised clinical and operational Strengthen information systems to promote continuity and quality of care Need for sustainable, integrated, health system Development of patient-held records strengthening approaches

Health in the midst of humanitarian crises

Managing a chronic disease requires continuity of treatment and care, which can be challenging in a humanitarian crisis, where health services are disrupted or completely collapse.

People with NCDs are among the most vulnerable groups in these settings. Many suffer from complications that can be controlled in normal circumstances but are disabling, and even life-threatening, without treatment.

Partnering for Change

In 2018 the International Committee of the Red Cross, the Danish Red Cross and Novo Nordisk formed a partnership to tackle the growing issue of NCDs affecting millions of people in humanitarian crises worldwide.

A collective vision unites the partnership that all people affected by humanitarian crises should have access to the NCD care they need, no matter where they are. The partnership is supported by the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM), the lead academic partner.



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM)

The Centre for Global Chronic Conditions at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (LSHTM) aims to improve the understanding of and responses to chronic conditions in order to improve the health and health equity of people worldwide. The centre is made up of a group of researchers from multiple disciplines (including epidemiology, economics, social political sciences and health systems). We work in low-, middle- and high-income country settings, including with vulnerable populations during humanitarian crises and with migrant populations.

Authors

Éimhín Ansbro^{a,b}, Rita Issa^{a,1}, Ruth Willis^{b,c}, Karl Blanchet^{d,2}, Pablo Perel^{b,e}, Bayard Roberts^{a,b}

- a. Department of Health Services Research and Policy, Faculty of Public Health and Policy, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.
- b. Centre for Global Chronic Conditions, London School of Hygiene and Tropical
 Medicine
- Department of Global Health and Development, Faculty of Public Health and Policy, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.
- d. Health in Humanitarian Crises Centre, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.
- e. Department of Non-communicable Disease Epidemiology, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.
- 1. Present address: Institute for Global Health, University College London.
- 2. Present address: Geneva Centre of Humanitarian Studies, University of Geneva.











